

# Letters

## Comments on “Biological Effects of Radio-Frequency/Microwave Radiation”

Ronald W. P. King

The above paper<sup>1</sup> is important in that it well summarizes 50 years of research on the thermal effects of microwave radiation in the human body and the penetration of a plane-wave incident on a planar surface of that body.

The author would like to see the above paper supplemented with at least a reference to the possible biological effects of the axial electric current and electric field induced in the human body near its resonant length. This occurs near 53 MHz and is analyzed in [1], in which the normalized total axial current is derived together with the normalized current density and the electric field along the central axis. Since an important amateur radio band is 50–60 MHz, a study has been made of the electric field induced in the cells in the bodies of RF amateurs when operating their own transmitters. This is reported in [2]. The electric field in such a cell, near its surface, is found to be  $E_z \sim 0.53E_z^{inc}$ . Here,  $E_z^{inc}$  is the electric-field incident on the body from the transmitting antenna. There is some indication that a field of this magnitude may be biologically significant since there is statistical evidence that there is an increase in malignancies in many amateur radio operators over the general population.

Another study is of the electric field induced in the human body when exposed to electromagnetic fields at 1–30 MHz. This applies to personnel on shipboard and is carried out in [3]. In [3], the electric field induced in a man wearing rubber-soled shoes or standing barefoot on a metal deck is determined when the incident electric field is generated by one of the ship’s transmitting antennas.

Since the advent of the cellular telephone, questions have been raised regarding their safety. Can the fields generated by such a phone in the transmit mode have a deleterious biological effect? In order to make a start toward providing a meaningful answer, a detailed study has been made of two common types of cellular telephones. This is carried out in [4]. The study is based on actual measurements of a telephone in use beside a human head. It takes full account of the skull and shape of the head. The calculated electric field in a cell in the brain is of the order of 25 V/m.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. W. P. King, “Electric current and electric field induced in the human body when exposed to an incident electric field near the resonant frequency,” *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, vol. 48, pp. 1537–1543, Sept. 2000.
- [2] —, “Electric fields induced in cells in the bodies of amateur radio operators by their transmitting antennas,” *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, vol. 48, pp. 2155–2158, Nov. 2000.
- [3] —, “The electric field induced in the human body when exposed to electromagnetic fields at 1–30 MHz on shipboard,” *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 46, pp. 747–751, June 1999.
- [4] —, “Electric currents and fields induced in cells in the human brain by radiation from hand-held cellular telephones,” *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 87, pp. 893–900, 2000.

Manuscript received April 3, 2002.

The author is with the Division of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Gordon McKay Laboratory, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138-2901 USA.

Publisher Item Identifier 10.1109/TMTT.2002.801385.

<sup>1</sup>E. R. Adair and R. C. Petersen, *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 953–962, Mar. 2002.

## Authors’ Reply

Eleanor R. Adair and Ronald C. Petersen

We acknowledge with thanks the King comments on the above paper.<sup>1</sup> King provides information from some of his recent publications concerning possible biological effects of induced electric fields and currents in the cells and tissues of the human body during exposure to certain radio frequencies (1–30 and 53 MHz). The potential for adverse health effects at cellular telephone frequencies was also addressed in his comments.

Although a large literature suggests that nonthermal interactions, such as those described by King, may have deleterious health effects, such possibilities have not yet been clearly demonstrated or confirmed. Indeed, many recent comprehensive reviews of this literature conclude that exposure to low level (considered nonthermal) RF energy cannot, and does not provide a hazard to cells or tissues in the human body. One extensive review of the literature provided the basis for the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) Guidelines, published in 1998 [1]. The following conclusion appears in that document: “Overall, the literature on athermal effects of AM electromagnetic fields is so complex, the validity of reported effects so poorly established, and the relevance of the effects to human health is so uncertain, that it is impossible to use this body of information as a basis for setting limits on human exposure to these fields.”

A review chapter by Postow and Swicord in [2] indicates no hazardous effects either from exposure to low-level nonionizing electromagnetic fields from extremely low frequency (ELF) through millimeter waves, or from modulated RF fields. Recent reviews by the Health Council of The Netherlands conclude that there are no indications for radiation risks of mobile phones [3], Global System for Mobile Communications (GSM) base stations [4], or electromagnetic fields [5]. Moulder *et al.* [6], in an extensive review of the subject as it relates to exposure to the fields from cell phones, states clearly that the current “evidence for a causal relationship between RF radiation from cell phones and cancer is found to be weak to nonexistent.” Finally, a panel of experts chaired by Doll [7] reviewed the extensive literature on “calcium efflux,” one of the classic examples of a nonthermal effect. The panel concluded, “If the phenomenon is biologically significant, concomitant changes would be expected in the functions of nervous tissues that depend on the movement of calcium ions, but none has been shown unambiguously to occur.”

More and more papers and statements will undoubtedly be published on the potential hazards to health of low-level or nonthermal RF/microwave (MW) fields. However, until there is independent and definitive replication of any such effect, those institutions that generate

Manuscript received April 2, 2002.

E. R. Adair, retired, was with the U.S. Air Force Research Laboratory, Brooks AFB, TX 78235-5324 USA. She is now at 50 Deepwood Drive, Hamden, CT 06517 USA (e-mail: e.r.adair@ieee.org).

R. C. Petersen, retired, was with the Environmental Health and Safety Center, Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, NJ 07974 USA. He is now at 170 Fairview Drive, Bedminster, NJ 07921 USA (e-mail: r.c.peters@ieee.org).

Publisher Item Identifier 10.1109/TMTT.2002.801388.

<sup>1</sup>E. R. Adair and R. C. Petersen, *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 953–962, Mar. 2002.

science-based safety guidelines for RF exposure of human beings will continue to base their standards on well-established effects. The IEEE International Committee on Electromagnetic Safety (ICES), an international committee with oversight over the SCC-28 subcommittees, will continue its detailed review of all the relevant literature in an open and transparent manner. With participation open to all who are interested, ICES ensures that the views of all stakeholders are considered. ICES is working closely with other scientific groups, such as ICNIRP, toward the harmonization of science-based human exposure standards worldwide.

## REFERENCES

- [1] ICNIRP, "Guidelines for limiting exposure to time-varying electric, magnetic, and electromagnetic fields (up to 300 GHz)," *Health Phys.*, vol. 74, no. 4, pp. 494–522, 1998.
- [2] E. Postow and M. L. Swicord, "Modulated fields and window effects," in *Handbook of Biological Effects of Electromagnetic Fields*, 2nd ed. C. Polk and E. Postow, Eds. Boca Raton, FL: CRC, 1996, pp. 535–580.
- [3] "Mobile telephones: An evaluation of health effects," Health Council of The Netherlands, The Hague, The Netherlands, Tech. Pub. 2002/01E, 2002.
- [4] "GSM base stations," Health Council of The Netherlands, The Hague, The Netherlands, Tech. Pub. 2000/16E, 2000.
- [5] "Electromagnetic Fields: Annual update 2001," Health Council of The Netherlands, The Hague, The Netherlands, Tech. Pub. 2001/14E, 2001.
- [6] J. E. Moulder, L. S. Erdreich, R. S. Malyapa, J. Merritt, W. F. Pickard, and W. F. Vijayalaxmi, "Cell phones and cancer: What is the evidence for a connection?" *Rad. Res.*, vol. 151, pp. 513–531, 1999.
- [7] "Possible health effects from terrestrial trunked radio (Tetra)," Nat. Rad. Protection Board, Chilton Didcot, Oxon., U.K., Executive Summary: Tech. Rep., NRPD Doc., vol. 12, 2001.

## Corrections on "Microwaves in Europe"

G. Gerosa, G. Pelosi, and R. Sorrentino

In the above paper,<sup>1</sup> Section "VII. ITALY," p. 1064, first column, second paragraph, the University of Pavia was not listed among Italian universities working on numerical methods and microwave passive circuits. Moreover, regarding bio-electromagnetics and electromagnetic compatibility, mention has been given to "Rome 'La Sapienza'" instead of "Rome," the latter term including all Universities of Rome.

Manuscript received May 3, 2002.

G. Gerosa is with the Department of Electronic Engineering, Università di Roma "La Sapienza," 00184 Rome, Italy (e-mail: giorgio@die.ing.uniroma1.it).

G. Pelosi is with the Department of Electronics and Telecommunications, University of Florence, I-50134 Florence, Italy (e-mail: pelosi@det.unifi.it).

R. Sorrentino is with the Department of Electronic and Information Engineering, University of Perugia, 06100 Perugia, Italy (e-mail: r.sorrentino@ieee.org).

Publisher Item Identifier 10.1109/TMTT.2002.801390.

<sup>1</sup>R. Sorrentino, T. Oxley, G. Salmer, A. Vander Vorst, L. P. Lighthart, P. Russer, G. Gerosa, G. Pelosi, J. Bach Andersen, A. V. Räisänen, E. Kollberg, J. Modelski, O. G. Vendik, I. B. Vendik, T. Berceli, M. Salazar-Palma, J. Costa Freire, N. Uzunoglu, and A. Madjar, *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 1056–1072, Mar. 2002.